

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 08 2005

Docket No.: 103488-0021
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Howard Greenblatt et al.

Application No.: 10/680,049

Confirmation No.: 8291

Filed: October 7, 2003

Art Unit: 2172

For: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR
IDENTIFYING RELATED NODES IN A
DIRECTED GRAPH HAVING NAMEDARCS

Examiner: F. I. Bhichioya
Telefax: 571-273-4034

INTERVIEW AGENDA

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile (at the Telefax Number above) transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Dated: 2/8/05

Signature: _____

(David J. Powsner)

Dear Sir:

In response to the request for an interview agenda, the interview agenda for the above-identified U.S. patent application is as follows:

1. Discussion of the proposed new claim (see attached).
2. Discussion of prior art, specifically Conklin, U.S. Patent No. 6,415,283, and Sokol, U.S. Patent No. 6,405,211.
3. Discussion of the formal amendments regarding the objections to the circular references in the claims.

Application No.: 10/680,049

Docket No.: 103488-0021

Dated:

Respectfully submitted,

By 

David J. Powsner

Registration No.: 31,868

NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP

World Trade Center West

155 Seaport Boulevard

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2604

(617) 439-2000

(617) 310-9000 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant

Application No.: 10/680,049

Docket No.: 103488-0021

PROPOSED NEW CLAIM

A computerized method for identifying related RDF triples in a directed graph, comprising:

A. executing the sub-steps of

- (i) identifying as related RDF triples substantially matching a criteria;
- (ii) identifying as related RDF triples that are a direct ancestor of data identified in any of sub-steps in step A, and that is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;
- (iii) identifying as related RDF triples (hereinafter "identified descendent") that are a direct descendent of data (hereinafter "identified ancestor") identified as related in any of sub-steps in step A, and which identified descendent
 - (a) does not have a named relationship with the identified ancestor substantially matching a relationship named in the criteria, if any, and
 - (b) is not in substantial conflict with the criteria;
 - (c) does not have a named relationship with the identified ancestor matching a relationship the identified ancestor has with a data, if any, as a result of which the identified ancestor was identified during execution of sub-step (ii),

B. generating an indication of RDF triples identified as related in step (A).

1398451.1